IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B.PRAKASH RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 11031 of 2004

Between:

1 I R D Sravani D/o. I VV Satyanarayana
2 I S Naveen Kumar I VV Satyanarayanan
3 IY Sravanthi D/o. I V V Satyanarayanan
All are Shuttle Badminton Players and Students of Sports Authority of A.P

(SAAP) Vijayawada, Krishna District.
Petitioners 1 to 3 being minors rep by their father/next friend IVV Satyanarayanan Labbipet, Vijayawada Krishna. **..... PETITIONER(S)**

AND

1 The Secretary, Badminton Association of India D.No.196-A, Bapu Nagar, Jaipur 302015, Rajasthan State.
2 N. Siki Reddy D/o. N> Krishna Reddy
R/o. HIG-II, Block 8, Flat 16, Bagh Limgampally, HYd.
(R-2 impleaded as per C.O. Dt. 10.9.2004 in WPMP.No. 18921 of 2004.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent in not permitting the petitioners to participate and play under their respective age group category tournaments in State and All India Shuttle Badminton Tournaments inspite of furnishing their date of birth certificates is illegal, irregular, arbitrary, violative of principles of natural justice and Art.14,19,21 of the constitution of India and consequently direct the respondent to permit the petitioners to participate and play in State and All India Shuttle Badminton Tournments under their respect age group category in the interests of justice.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.SATYANARAYANA NIMMAGADDA

Counsel for Respondent No.2.: Mr.P.Rajender Reddy

Counsel for Respondent No.1: Mr.U.Muralidhar Rao

The Court made the following Order:

Heard both the learned counsel and at their request the writ petition itself is taken up for disposal.

Petitioner virtually seeks the relief against an Association, which, admittedly, did not fall within the State as defined under Article 12 of the Constitution of India and therefore, no writ would lie against the said Association. Accordingly, learned counsel for the petitioner sought permission to withdraw this writ petition. Permission is accorded.

Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn. Both the parties are at liberty to avail their appropriate remedy under law. No costs.

28—09—2004.

Stv/-

To

1. The Secretary, Badminton Association of India, D.No.196-A, Bapu Nagar, Jaipur, 302015, Rajasthan State.

2. Two C.D. Copies